**St Patrick’s College - Referencing Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CATEGORY** | **Exemplary** | **Proficient** | **Partially Proficient** | **Incomplete** | **POINTS** |
| **Selection of Sources** | 3 points | 2 points | 1 point | 0 points | \_\_\_/3 |
| Identified highly appropriate sources in a variety of formats (books, journals, electronic sources). | Identified mostly appropriate sources in a variety of formats (books, journals, electronic sources). | Identified a few appropriate sources but made little attempt to balance format types. | Identified no appropriate sources in any format. |
| **Note-taking & Keywords** | 3 points | 2 points | 1 point | 0 points | \_\_\_/3 |
| Notes included succinct key facts which directly answered all of the research questions. | Notes which included facts that answered most of the research questions. | Notes which included irrelevant facts which did not answer the research questions. | Notes which included a majority of facts which did not answer the research questions. |
| **Citations/ Documentation**  **In a reference list or KRC Bibliography Form** | 3 points | 2 points | 1 point | 0 points | \_\_\_/3 |
| Cited all sources of information and images accurately to demonstrate the credibility and authority of the information presented. | Cited most sources of information and images in proper format and documented sources to enable accuracy checking. | Cited most sources of information and images improperly and provided little or no supporting documentation to check accuracy. | Created citations which were incomplete, missing or inaccurate, and provided no way to check the validity of the information gathered. |
| **TOTAL POINTS** | | | | | \_\_\_ /9 |

*Adapted from the University of Wisconsin Research Process Rubric*